



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,811	09/13/2000	Mark S. Knighton	004956.P003	8160
7	590 02/28/2003			
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			BUGG, GEORGE A	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	,	<b>W</b> 7			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/660,811	KNIGHTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George A Bugg	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	by be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowate closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/660,811

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-15, and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent No. 5,831,621 to Pito.
- 3. As for claims 1, 13, and 27, Pito discloses, in column 5, lines 24-48, and in Figure 1, a camera or scanner (Element 10) which scans three dimensional object data. The scanner shown in Figure 1 is equivalent to the claimed digitizer, since they perform the same function. The orientation fixture, as claimed, is also shown in Figure 1, as Element 14. As the turntable rotates, the orientation of the object is changed from a first position to a second position, revealing a first aspect, or view, of the object at a first orientation, and revealing a second aspect, or view, of the object at a second orientation, relative to the scanner, or digitizer. Pito further discloses computer control and software, which is utilized to determine the "Next Best View". In other words, the software performs mathematic manipulation on the scanned images of the object, to determine what area of the object to look at next, and thereby automatically repositions the turntable, to obtain the desired object orientation. As shown in Figure 1, and

Art Unit: 2613

discussed in column 5, the digitizer and orientation fixture, are shown as independent units, that can be connected as one, through the use of software and computer control. Additionally, claim 27 recites a data analyzer for identifying points of interest in the data collected, wherein the digitizer and the orientation fixture automatically rescan a portion of the object, corresponding to the point of interest, whereby the three dimensional model of a portion of the object is adjusted based on the rescan. In column 10, lines 40-65, Pito discloses indices (i) which correspond to scanned images, (i.e. points of interest) and that multiple scans of the object take place, based upon the number of indices to be checked. Pito further teaches, upon rescan, the three dimensional model of the object is updated.

- 4. Regarding claim 2, in column 1, lines 23-33, Pito discloses measuring the distance between the range camera, or digitizer, and the surface of an object, which is equivalent to determining the position of the orientation fixture, relative to the digitizer, since the object to be scanned is sitting atop the orientation fixture, or turntable.
- 5. As for claims 3 and 14, column 5, lines 57-67, Pito discloses a breakdown angle of a scanner, which is determined from the calibration of the scanner, or digitizer.
- 6. With regard to claims 4 and 15, column 10, lines 1-9, teach the use of a computer and software, or host, for modeling a three dimensional representation of an object.
- 7. As for claims 8, 9, and 18, since Figure 1 shows the orientation fixture, and the digitizer as separate entities, it is inherently taught that each would have their own self-contained power source.

Application/Control Number: 09/660,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

8. With regard to claim 10, column 9, lines 10-15 disclose selecting a work space, or scan area whose center coincides with that of the turntable, or orientation fixture, and rotating the turntable to a specific location, according to the scanner, or digitizer. This is synonymous with scanning for a distinct feature, and acquiring the orientation fixture as a result of such a scan.

- 9. As for claim 11, the scanner and its software, in conjunction with the computer controlled turntable, or orientation fixture, as disclosed by Pito in column 5, lines 23-33, is considered to be a localized energy source, which permits the scanner or digitizer to access the orientation fixture.
- 10. As for claims 12 and 19, in column 5, lines 23-32, Pito discloses the orientation fixture, Element 14 of Figure 1, as a turntable.
- 11. With regard to claims 28 and 29, in column 11, lines 1-67, Pito discloses control parameters, which can effect the resolution, field of view, focus, magnification, and size of an image, during scan or rescan, as well as resampling poorly sampled surfaces, to achieve higher accuracy (i.e. higher resolution). Since the appearance of an image may be altered through the use of control parameters, the method of capturing the images is also different, because the number of scans would vary, depending on the detail to be imaged.
- 12. Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No 5,799,082 to Murphy et al.
- 13. As for claims 20 and 23, in column 15, lines 6-31, Murphy discloses freezing or locking image data, and further preventing transmission to another person or facility (i.e.

Application/Control Number: 09/660,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

remotely), except those who are authorized, and implement the proper request for downloading the information.

- 14. With regard to claims 21 and 22, column 13, lines 53-67, disclose a camera system, which determines position information (i.e. location coordinates, angular orientation coordinates, and distance to the object) or three dimensional image data.

  Claim 16 of Murphy discloses an image-capturing device, which can be reprogrammed remotely.
- 15. As for claims 24-26, Murphy discloses, in column 13 lines 30-52, and column 15, lines 19-47, encrypting algorithms, and decryption using position parameters of the object, as well as storage limitations and uploading encrypted image data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 5-7, and 16-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,831,621 to Pito, in view of International Publication No. WO 96/02106 to Vellacott.
- 18. As for claims 5-7, and 16-17, while Pito fails to teach communicating over a wireless link, as well as transmitting data remotely, pages 8 and 9 of the Vellacott

Application/Control Number: 09/660,811

Art Unit: 2613

reference discloses the use of LAN systems, wireless communications, as well as remote transmission. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Vellacott and Pito, for the purpose of creating a more robust three-dimensional scanning system.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George A Bugg whose telephone number is (703) 305-2329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

George A Bugg Examiner Art Unit 2613

**GAB** 

February 6, 2003

CHRIS KELLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Tilles

Page 6